

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- **BLACK BORDERS**

- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**

McGinn & Gibb, PLLC
A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200
VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817
TELEPHONE (703) 761-4100
FACSIMILE (703) 761-2375; (703) 761-2376

**APPLICATION
FOR
UNITED STATES
LETTERS PATENT**

APPLICANT'S: RICHARD ORMSON, ET AL.

**FOR: CELLULAR NETWORK ACQUISITION
METHOD AND APPARATUS**

DOCKET NO.: WN-2619

WN-2619

1

CELLULAR NETWORK ACQUISITION METHOD AND APPARATUS

The present invention relates to a method and apparatus for use in
5 network acquisition for cellular communications devices.

Cellular communications devices such as cell phones, have become
increasingly popular and widely adopted and in many instances have become
the prime means of communication both for business and domestic
10 requirements.

As such usage becomes more widespread, potentially disadvantageous
and limiting features of such devices become more apparent. For example,
when a cell phone is first turned on, an acquisition procedure needs to be
15 conducted so that the cell phone can acquire the appropriate communications
network and subsequently take part in a communications exchange over that
network. The period between turning the cell phone on and actually acquiring
the network does not generally go unnoticed by the user and comprises dead
time as far as the user is concerned since no other operations over and above
20 network acquisition are conducted during that period. The longer the time
period required to acquire the network, the more likely this period is to be
noticed by the user and so as to lead to potential irritation.
Also, network acquisition procedures require the cell phone handset to expend
a significant amount of power relative to power requirements arising merely for
25 communication procedures.

Indeed, in view of the different mobile communication modes that have arisen,
and the subsequent requirement for cell phone handsets to offer dual mode, or
indeed multimode, operability, it will become increasingly necessary for each
30 handset to search on more than one mode. Thus potential delays in network
acquisition, and related user irritation, could become more frequently
experienced. As explained further below network acquisition requires a search

through a set of frequencies – generally defined by a frequency band in an attempt to identify the most suitable cell of a network. With dual mode operation, there will be multiple sets of frequencies to search through in order not only to find the most suitable cell, but also the most suitable network given the at least dual mode operability of the handset.

10 The present invention seeks to provide for a network acquisition method and apparatus which exhibits advantages over known such methods and apparatus.

15 According to one aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of network acquisition for a cellular radio communications device arranged for operation in accordance with a plurality of radio technologies and comprising searching to identify a suitable cell on one radio technology and, subsequent to identifying a suitable cell on the said one radio technology, comprising the steps of also monitoring cells on another of the plurality of radio technologies in order to identify if one of the said monitored cells is more suitable than the cell identified on the said one radio technology, and subsequent to said monitoring, selecting and camping for the first time on the 20 cell identified from all of the radio technologies searched as the most suitable.

25 The invention is particularly advantageous in improving the initial search procedure when turning on a cellular radio communications device so as to advantageously reduce dead time experienced by the user and also to reduce handset energy consumption. In particular, the arrangement can save the handset from transmitting unnecessary signalling information and which procedures exhibit a high power requirement. The network is also saved from dealing with unnecessary signalling and processor loadings.

30 Advantageously, subsequent to identifying a suitable cell on the said one radio technology, the method can be arranged to monitor neighbouring cells on all the plurality of radio technologies of interest.

Y t further, and subsequent to the identification of a suitable cell on the said one radio technology, the method can be arranged to obtain the BA list provided for that identified cell but for the other of the available radio 5 technologies.

In such a manner, the method is arranged to monitor cells on both radio technologies.

10 Preferably, when searching to identify a suitable cell, the cells are ranked in accordance with signal strength, or a derivative of signal strength, of signals received there from.

15 According to another aspect of the present invention there is provided a cellular radio communications device arranged for operation in accordance with a plurality of radio technologies and including means for searching to identify a suitable cell on one radio technology and means for monitoring cells on another of the plurality of radio technologies, subsequent to the identification of a suitable cell on the said one radio technology, so as to 20 identify if one of the said monitored cells might prove more suitable than the said identified cell, and further including means for, subsequent to the said monitoring, selecting and camping on the cell identified as the most suitable.

25 Advantageously, the cellular radio communications device is arranged to operate in accordance with method steps as defined above.

The invention is described further hereinafter by way of example only with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

30 Fig. 1 is a flow chart illustrating network acquisition in relation to a plurality of radio technologies as currently known; and

Fig. 2 is a flow diagram illustrating a method of network acquisition for use in relation to a plurality of radio technologies and according to an embodiment of the present invention.

5 As will be appreciated, under the 3GPP specifications a handset is required to search one entire radio access technology (RAT) at a time. The relative priority of RATs is set within the handset and so in a dual mode GSM/UMTS handset an initial search of GSM or UMTS will be undertaken and the process will only look at the second RAT during initial selection if no 10 suitable cells are found on the first RAT.

However, it may be that a cell on the second RAT is more suitable than a cell that has been located on the first RAT. Under the current scheme of operation, this will be detected after the handset has camped onto the cell 15 found on the first RAT, read the neighbour cell lists, and monitored the cells of the second RAT. A reselection will then be performed. As this is an inter-RAT reselection, it is not a simple procedure and involves significant signalling traffic. Disadvantageously, this takes time and consumes energy.

20 Turning now to Fig. 1, the known method, for a dual mode phone, starts by searching the first radio technology RAT at step 10 in order to determine, at step 12, whether a suitable cell has been located.

If, at step 12, it is found that no suitable cell is located, the method 25 proceeds to step 14 where the cells of the second RAT are searched to identify, at step 16, whether a suitable cell has been found.

If, at step 16 it is found that no suitable cell is identified on the second RAT, then the method returns to original step 10 via a holding step 18.

However, if in either of steps 12 or 16 it is found that a suitable cell does exist on one of the RATs, then the decision is taken at step 20 to camp on that cell for network acquisition purposes.

5 However, in accordance with the current art, in order to ensure that a more suitable cell does not exist elsewhere, once having identified an initially suitable cell, the method proceeds by steps 20, 24 by reading the BA (neighbour cell) list provided on that cell for both RATs, and also monitoring neighbouring cells on both RATs. If, after step 24, it is found at step 26 that a
10 more suitable cell does not in fact exist than the one initially identified and camped upon at step 20, then the method proceeds to step 28 into its idol mode of operation.

15 However, if, at 26, it is determined that a more suitable cell than that camped upon at step 20 is in fact found, then the method proceeds to step 30 which seeks to determine whether or not this seemingly more suitable cell is found on the different RAT from which the cell camped upon at step 20 is found.

20 If this is not the case, then the cell on that RAT is simply reselected at step 32 and the method then proceeds into its idol mode at step 28.

25 However, if it is fact found at step 30 that the more suitable cell is located on the other RAT, then, at step 34, it is necessary to decamp from the first RAT and subsequently camp on the cell associated with the other of the two RATs.

30 Such processing can prove to be disadvantageous both as regards time delays and, in particular, power consumption. Step 20 and step 34 use relatively large amounts of power as they are procedures requiring the handset to transmit significant levels of signalling to the network.

Turning now to Fig. 2 however, there is an illustrated example of an embodiment of the present invention which offers advantages over that described in relation to Fig. 1.

5 It is therefore proposed that, before camping on to a cell identified on one RAT, the BA list provided on that cell for the alternate RAT should be read, and the cells monitored to see if any are preferable. This will lead to the initial search procedure taking a fraction of a second longer (unless combined with parallel search techniques which we describe in other applications).

10 However, the mechanism potentially saves the handset transmitting unnecessary signalling and expending significant energy. Of course this also saves the network from dealing with unnecessary signalling – while the network is not particularly interested in the energy saving this provides, the mechanism also reduces the network signalling and processor loadings, which

15 are useful effects.

Turning to Fig. 2, there is illustrated a somewhat similar initial procedure to that illustrated in Fig. 1, in which, at step 36, a search of the first RAT is conducted to identify, at step 38, whether a suitable cell has been located. If 20 the suitable cell has not been located, the method proceeds to step 40 and a search of the second RAT to identify, at step 42, whether a suitable cell has been located on that second RAT. If no suitable cell is determined from the second RAT either, the process returns to initial step 36 via a holding step 44.

25 However, if, at step 38, a suitable cell is identified on the initial RAT searched at step 38, or indeed on the second RAT searched at step 42, the method proceeds to step 46 at which BA lists provided on that cell for the alternate RAT are read, and the cells monitored to identify if any likely more suitable cell to that identified at step 38 exists.

30 Importantly, it is noted that in accordance with the present invention at this stage no camping onto a cell has yet occurred.

Subsequent to steps 46, 48 it is determined whether or not a more suitable cell than that identified at step 38 has been located.

5 If not, the method proceeds to step 52 at which the handset camps onto the original cell found at either of steps 38 and 42.

10 However, if the determination at step 50 indicates that a likely more suitable cell has in fact been located, then steps are simply taken at step 54 to camp onto the likely more suitable cell.

Subsequent to the camping onto the appropriate cell at either of steps 52, 54, the method then proceeds into the idle mode 56 for the handset.

15 Thus, it will be appreciated from Fig. 2 that, whichever RAT eventually provides for the most suitable cell, only one camping step, and no subsequent de-camping step, is required.

20 This is best illustrated by a comparison of stage A in Fig. 1 with stage B in Fig. 2. stage B requiring far less power expenditure than stage A.

25 This mechanism is a dual mode search, and operates in a way compatible with the 3GPP specifications. However the mechanism is not limited to those technologies described in the 3GPP specifications; it is applicable to any multi RAT system where information on alternate RAT cells is provided in RAT broadcast information.